necessary or appropriate to accomplish the terms of this final judgment or as may be necessary or appropriate for the construction or carrying out of this final judgment, for the enforcement of compliance therewith, and punishment of violations thereof.

Dated this 4th day of December, 1964.

/s/ JAMES H. MEREDITH
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

INDEX

	Page
Statement	1
1. The companies involved	2
2. The decision of the district court	4
3. The divestiture proceedings	6
Argument	7
Conclusion	18

CITATIONS

Cases:	
Douds v. *Local 1250, Retail Wholesale Dept. Store Union*, 170 F. 2d 695	17
Md. & Va. Milk Producers Assn. v. *United States*, 362 U.S. 458	17
United States v. *Aluminum Company of America*, 377 U.S. 271	2
United States v. *duPont & Co.*, 366 U.S. 316	15, 16
United States v. *Loew's*, 371 U.S. 38	17
United States v. *Paramount Pictures, Inc.*, 334 U.S. 131	13
United States v. *U.S. Gypsum Co.*, 340 U.S. 76	14, 15
Urbain v. *Knapp Brothers Manufacturing Co.*, 217 F. 2d 810, certiorari denied, 349 U.S. 930	17
Yanish v. *Barber*, 232 F. 2d 939	17
Statutes:	
Clayton Act, Section 7, 15 U.S.C. 18	1, 3, 4

778-878—65——1

In the Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1965

No. 84

ALUMINUM CORPORATION OF AMERICA AND CUPPLES PRODUCTS CORPORATION, APPELLANTS

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI

MOTION TO AFFIRM

Pursuant to Rule 16, Paragraph 1(c), of the Rules of this Court, the United States moves that the judgment of the district court be affirmed.

STATEMENT

This is a direct appeal from the final judgment of the district court, which held that appellant Aluminum Company of America ("Alcoa") violated Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 18, by acquiring in 1960 all the stock of Cupples Products Corporation ("Cupples"), and directed divestiture. The appeal presents only a single narrow issue as to the scope of divestiture.